OPINION

New Haven Register

FOUNDED 1812
INCORPORATING THE JOURNAL COURIER, FOUNDED 1755
A Journal Register Company Newspaper

Journal Register



KEVIN F. WALSH Publisher and Chief Executive Officer

JACK KRAMER, Editor
CHARLES P. KOCHAKIAN, Editorial Page Editor
MARK BRACKENBURY, Managing Editor, News
PAT BURNAGIEL, Vice President, Advertising
TIM SOLT, Circulation Director
JOHN COLLINS, Chief Financial Officer
TOM MUESSEL, Production Director

ROBERT J. LEENEY, Editor Emeritus

DPUC ruling 'benefits one provider: AT&T'

The Office of Consumer Counsel, a state agency suits in both federal court in New Haven and state empowered to advocate for consumers of Superior Court to put a stop to this situation. Not public utility services, applauds the Regisonly is public policy violated by the DPUC's decision, ter's editorial "TV from AT&T: more of the state and federal law both demand that video provisame." sion by a local telephone company, no matter what While the office fully supports any fair technology is utilized, must be regulated in a competition that could be developed for manner equal to that imposed on the cable cable television service, the unleashcompanies. ing of AT&T into the market It is only fair that AT&T without any regulation by the be held to the same state Department of Public standard of obligation Utility Control only benefits while welcoming a fair competition between one provider: AT&T. As the Register points out, the phone companies and AT&T publicly has declared the cable companies. In that its intention to provide its way, the Office of Consumer cable service only to "high Counsel hopes consummargin" customers, who preers will receive the sumably only live in certain lower prices and towns and cities, while ignorenhanced technoloing "low margin" customers. In its decision, the DPUC called gy that competition has the possibility our office's use of the term "redlinof providing - to all ing" for this behavior "inflamma-Connecticut consumers. tory" and ruled that it is just "sound William Vallée business practices," thus allowing New Britain such discrimination to proceed at Editor's note: William Vallée is princi-AT&T's discretion. pal attorney in the state Office of Consumer Our office disagrees and has filed Counsel.